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ELECTRIC ENERGY AND NATURAL GAS

- Natural gas fuels 20% of U.S. electric generation
  - 40% in New England
- Over 90% of new generation is gas–fired
  - 97% in New England
- Lower emissions than other fossil fuels
- Pipeline industry plans to spend $100 billion in next 6-8 years

Overview of Primary Jurisdiction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Statute</th>
<th>Lead Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline</td>
<td>Natural Gas Act - Section 7</td>
<td>FERC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onshore LNG Terminal</td>
<td>Natural Gas Act - Section 3</td>
<td>FERC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offshore LNG Terminal</td>
<td>Deepwater Port Act</td>
<td>MARAD/USCG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I. Regulation of Interstate Natural Gas Pipelines

- Natural Gas Act (NGA) provides comprehensive regulation of interstate pipelines
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) has the authority to administer the NGA
- Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity required for construction and operation of new facilities
  - Comprehensive application required
  - Broad notice provisions
  - Open opportunity to intervene
  - NEPA review of facilities
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- Designates FERC as lead agency for NEPA review
- Authorizes FERC to establish a schedule for federal permits
- Requires agencies acting under federal law to comply with FERC schedule
- Authorizes FERC to maintain consolidated record for all federal permits
- Designates appellate courts for “expedited consideration” of reviews regarding federal permits
  - Failure to issue permit – DC Circuit
  - Review of permit/denial – Circuit of project location
- Establishes timeline for Secretary of Commerce to act on CZMA appeal
### FERC’s Scheduling/Consolidated Record Process

- FERC Order No. 687 – effective December 26, 2006
- All federal permits to be filed prior to FERC application
- FERC establishes permitting schedule within 90 days of notice of application
- All agencies to complete their reviews within 90 days of issuance of EA/EIS
- Exclusions:
  - State permits
  - Federal permits with statutory timelines (e.g. CZMA, CWA)

### Islander East Appeal of Section 401 WQC Denial

- CT DEP denied Islander East’s Section 401 water quality certificate application
- August, 2005, IE filed petition for expedited review with 2d Circuit
- October, 2006, the 2d Circuit issued its order
  - CT DEP was arbitrary and capricious
  - Remanded back to DEP for further review
- December, 2006, the DEP again denied the application
- December, 2006, IE again filed for expedited review
- Oral argument held April 10, 2007 - no decision yet
Involvement of Other Agencies - Pipelines

- Federal agencies acting under federal authority, e.g.
  - USCOE – Rivers and Harbors Act; Clean Water Act
  - USF&W – Endangered Species Act
  - USEPA – Clean Water Act
- State agencies acting under delegated authority, e.g.
  - Coastal Zone Management Act
  - Clean Water Act
  - Clean Air Act
- FERC / NEPA regulations provide for extensive input from all stakeholders

Typical Federal Agencies Involved in Review of a Pipeline Project

- FERC
- Army Corps of Engineers
- Environmental Protection Agency
- National Marine Fisheries Service
- Fish and Wildlife Service
- Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
- Federal Highway Administration
- National Parks Service
Typical State Agencies Involved in Review of a Pipeline Project (Mass.)

- Mass. Environmental Policy Act Office
- Coastal Zone Management Office
- Department of Environmental Protection
- State Historic Preservation Office
- Department of Conservation and Recreation
- Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program
- Division of Marine Fisheries
- Mass. Highway Department

FERC Encourages Collaboration / Cooperation

- Ideas for Better Stakeholder Involvement (2001)
  – “earlier and more productive involvement”
- Interagency Agreement on Early Coordination (2002)
  – “framework for early cooperation and participation”
  – “encourage…. early project development involvement”
  – “encourage applicants to cooperate”
- Standard certificate language encourages “cooperation between pipelines and local authorities”
Limitations on State and Local Action

- Standard certificate language
  - State or local permitting must be consistent with FERC certificate
  - State and local agencies “may not prohibit or unreasonably delay”
- Schneidewind v. ANR Pipeline (1988)
  - State agency may not regulate matters directly considered by FERC
- National Fuel v. NYPSC (1990)
  - “states may not engage in concurrent site – specific environmental review”
  - “there is no room for local zoning or building code regulations”
- Not all state / local actions are unreasonable
  - FERC’s “rule of reason”

Safety Jurisdiction – Pipelines

- Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act specifically preempts state safety standards
- U.S. DOT is responsible for establishing safety and design standards and ensuring compliance
  - 49 CFR Part 192
- U.S. DOT generally not active in certificate review process – focus is on inspection
  - States may become an agent for DOT for inspection purposes
II. Onshore LNG Terminals

- Section 3 of the NGA gives FERC exclusive jurisdiction for siting, construction, and operation
  - Clarified in EPAct
  - Applies to onshore LNG facilities and LNG facilities in state waters
  - Unlike pipelines, no eminent domain authority
- “Rational Siting” provisions of EPAct apply equally to Section 3 LNG facilities
- Mandatory NEPA pre-filing process
  - Six months minimum
  - Certificate in 12 months (?)
  - FERC’s NEPA review includes tanker operations and marine facilities
  - DOT and USCG part of review process

Involvement of Other Federal Agencies – FERC LNG Projects

- Federal agencies acting under federal law, e.g.
  - ACOE, USF&W, NOAA
- U.S. Coast Guard has authority for safety and security of ports and navigable waterways
  - Navigation and vessel safety
  - Safety of facilities in / adjacent to navigable waters
  - Security plan review
- U.S. DOT has authority to establish and enforce minimum safety standards
  - 49 CFR Part 193
  - FERC can impose more stringent safety conditions in certificate
Involvement of Other State Agencies – FERC LNG Projects

- State agencies acting under federal law, e.g.
  - CZMA, Clean Water Act, Clean Air Act
- State to designate contact for “state and local safety considerations”
- State may issue advisory report on safety within 30 days of certificate filing
  - FERC must review and respond to all concerns
- State may conduct safety inspections under certain conditions but no enforcement authority
- Applicants must consult with USCG and state and local agencies to develop “Emergency Response Plan”
  - Includes cost sharing agreements with state and local agencies
- Preemption principles apply

III. Offshore LNG Terminals

- Deepwater Port Act (DPA) authorizes the Secretary of Transportation to license the ownership, construction, and operation of “deepwater ports”
- “Deepwater port”
  - Manmade structure beyond state seaward boundaries intended for handling oil and gas
  - Includes natural gas or LNG
  - State seaward boundary
    - 3 miles on either coast
    - 3 marine leagues in the Gulf of Mexico
  - Can include associated pipelines
    - Into high water mark
    - Excludes “interconnecting facilities”
### Regulation of Deepwater Ports

- Authority to issue DPA license delegated to DOT’s Maritime Administration (MARAD)
  - Financial review
  - Prepare Record of Decision
  - Issue license
- Authority to process the DPA application delegated to USCG
  - Technical, safety and environmental reviews
  - Lead agency for NEPA
- DPA applications required to be approved or denied in 356 days of receipt
  - 21 days for completeness determination
  - 5 days to publish in Federal Registry
  - 240 days for review and hearings
  - 90 days to approve or deny
  - But USCG/MARAD can “stop the clock”

### Involvement of Other Agencies and Officials – Offshore LNG

- Deepwater ports must comply with NEPA
- Federal and state agencies acting under federal law
- A DPA license cannot be issued if the EPA Administrator notifies MARAD that the project will not conform with CAA, CWA, and Marine Sanctuaries Act
- A DPA license cannot be issued without the approval of the governor of each adjacent coastal state
  - Must be issued within 45 days of last hearing
  - Silence is deemed acceptance
- The governor of an adjacent coastal state may also notify MARAD if an application is not consistent with state and environmental programs
  - MARAD must condition license on achieving such consistency
Involvement of Other Agencies and Officials – Offshore LNG (continued)

- Any other interested state may present its views and MARAD must give them full consideration
- Other agencies may recommend that the applicant provide additional information
- Interagency MOU to share information and involve all stakeholders
- USCG generally conducts three public hearings
  - Scoping
  - DEIS
  - FEIS

Opposition Strategies – Roadblocks or Speed Bumps?

- Quoddy Bay and Downeast LNG (ME)
  - Canadian government prohibits transit of LNG vessels in Canadian waters
- Crown Landing LNG (NJ)
  - Delaware denies CZM approval of NJ facility
- Sparrows Point LNG (MD)
  - County prohibits LNG terminals via zoning and land use ordinances
- Sound Energy Solutions LNG (CA)
  - City terminates lease negotiations with LNG developer
- Islander East Pipeline (CT)
  - State denies Section 401 WQC and C2M
- Weavers Cove LNG (MA)
  - State withholding completeness determination for CZM application
How is the Development of Natural Gas Infrastructure Regulated?

- All facilities regulated by an agency with “exclusive” jurisdiction
  - Siting jurisdiction at a minimum
  - Lead agencies for NEPA review
- Lead agency will establish permitting timeline
  - MARAD / USCG – 356 days + time outs
  - FERC – Pre-Filing + 12 months (approx)
- All facilities subject to compliance with other federal laws, e.g.
  - CZMA
  - CWA
  - CAA
- All agencies required / encouraged to cooperate with lead agency
- States play a major role in the regulatory process
  - Federally delegated authority
  - Governor’s veto under DPA
- Public participation is possible in multiple proceedings